

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,714	07/28/2003	Reuven Unger	P23589	P23589 7104	
7055	7590 06/13/2005		EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			LEUNG, RICHARD L		
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT		
,			3744		
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/627,714	UNGER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Richard L. Leung	3744

	Richard L. Leung	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	21. See attached Notice of Non-Control of Non-Contr		
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after of	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to the claims raise new issues because of the added limitation to independent claim 1 reciting, "wherein the base blocking protrusion has a flat upper surface and a smooth end surface." Applicants assert that such an amendment should not raise new issues because Applicants believe the amendment merely incorporates the material of dependent claim 14 into the independent claims. The Examiner respectfully points out that claim 14, which only depends from claim 7, recites, "wherein the groove blocking protrusion has a flat upper surface and a smooth end surface." Claim 14 did not concern the "base blocking protrusion" of claim 1, and furthermore, the limitations of claim 14 where only previously presented in combination with claim 7 and not in combination with any of the other independent claims. Accordingly the proposed amendment will not be entered because they raise issues that require further consideration and/or search.

CHERYL TYLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER